SMARANDACHE-GROUP SEMIRING

د. رجب عبدالله العقيلي. أستاذ الرباضيات المساعد. كلية العلوم. جامعة بنغازي.

أ. هدى سعد بودوارة. محاضر مساعد بقسم الرباضيات. كلية التربية قمينس. جامعة بنغازي.

<u>الملخص</u>: كحالة استثنائية يقدم هذا البحث فكرة جديدة في علم الرياضيات والتي تم تطويرها في السنوات الحالية ومعرفة جيداً ببناءات سمارأنداش، نسبة إلى أول من قدمها العالم فلورنتين سمارنداش وباديلا رال سنة 1998 هذه البناءات قدمت حديثاً في عام 2002 من خلال العالم فاسنثا كأنداسامي.

abstract

As a special case, we present the new notion of mathematics that has been developed in recent year and it is well known as Smarandache structures which first introduced by Florentine Smarandache and Padilla Raul in year 1998 . Which was recently introduced in 2002 by W.B. Vasantha Kandasamy.

Keywords: Smarandache semiring, commutative semiring, Smarandache idempotent, Smarandache zero-divisor .

INTRODUCTION

First, we will introduce some basic definitions to explain the structure of Smarandache –group semiring. Based on some Theories regarding this structure that important results have been reached of Smarandachezero divisor and Smarandache-idempotent .

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1.[1] A Smarandache semiring (S-semiring) is defined to be a semiring S such that a non-empty proper subset B of S is a semifield (with respect to the same induced operation).

Definition 2.[2] Let S be a commutative semiring with unit. Let G be a group with multiplication. The group semiring SG of the group G over the semiring S consists of all finite formal sums of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i g_i$ (i—runs over a finite number n) with $s_i t_i \in S$ and $g_i \in G$ satisfying the following properties:

- 1. $\sum_{i} s_{i} g_{i} = \sum_{i} t_{i} g_{i} \Leftrightarrow s_{i} = t_{i}$
- 2. $(\sum_i s_i g_i) + (\sum_i t_i g_i) = \sum_i (s_i + t_i) g_i$
- 3. $(\sum_i s_i g_i) \cdot (\sum_i t_i g_i) = \sum_i m_k g_k$ where $m_k = \sum_i s_i t_i$, $g_k = g_i h_i$, $h_i \in G$
- 4. $s_i g_i = g_i s_i$

$$\sum_i s_i g_i \in SG$$
 and For $ss_i \in S$ 5. $s(\sum_i s_i g_i) = \sum_i (ss_i) g_i$

6. As $1 \in G$ and $1 \in S$ we have $1.G = G \subseteq SG$ and $S.1 = S \subseteq SG$.

Definition 3.[3] Let S be a semiring. We say $a,b \in S \setminus \{0\}$ are a Smarandache zero-divisor (S-zero-divisor) if a.b=0 and there exists $x,y \in S \setminus \{a,b,0\}, x \neq y$ with (i) ax=0 or xa=0 (ii) by=0 or yb=0 and (iii) $xy \neq 0$ or $yx \neq 0$.

مجلة المنارة العلمية العدد الثأني مايو 2021

Definition 4.[4] An element $a \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is a Smarandache weak zero-divisor (S-weak zero-divisor) if a is a zero-divisor, i.e. a.b = 0 for $b \in S \setminus \{0, a\}$

and there exist $x, y \in S \setminus \{0, a, b\}$ such that

- (i) ax = 0 or xa = 0
- (ii) by = 0 or yb = 0
- (iii) xy = 0 or yx = 0.

Definition 5.[5] Let S be a semiring. An element $a \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is a Smarandache idempotent (S-idempotent) of S if:

- (1) $a^2 = a$.
- (2) There exists $b \in S \setminus \{0, a\}$ such that
- (i) $b^2 = a$ and

(ii)
$$ab = a$$
 ($ba = a$) or $ab = b$ ($ba = b$).

Note that if S is a lattice then S has no S- idempotent

Corollary 1: Let A be a set such that |A| > 2, and G be the cyclic group of order n. Then the group semiring P(A)G has a S-zero-divisor.

Proof:

Let,
$$y \in P(A)G$$
.

Take $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ag^{i}$ and $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} bg^{i}$ where $a, b \in P(A)$ such that $a, b = \emptyset$

And take $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{c} g^{i}$ and $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^{c} g^{i}$ where $(a^{c} \neq b \text{ and } b^{c} \neq a)$

Now we have $x. y = \emptyset$ and $x. w = \emptyset, y. z = \emptyset$

Finally $w.z \neq \emptyset$.

Hence x and y are a S-zero-divisor in (A)G.

Corollary 2: If |A| = 2 and G be the cyclic group of order n., then the group lattice P(A)G has a S-weak zero-divisor.

Proof:

Let $x, y \in LG$

Take $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ag^{i}$ and $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{c}g^{i}$ where $a \in P(A)$

And take $z = a^c$ and w = a

Now we have x.y = 0 are zero-divisor

 $y \cdot y \cdot w = 0$ And $x \cdot z = 0$

مجلة المنارة العلمية العدد الثأني مايو 2021

Finally w.z = 0

Then x and y are S-weak zero-divisor in G.

Theorem 1: Let $S_n(n > 2)$ be the symmetric group of order n and c_2 be the chain lattice of order2, then the group semiring c_2S_n has a S-idempotent.

Proof:

Let
$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i$$
 where $p_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & k & \dots & n \\ i & i+1 & \dots & k+1+i & \dots & i+n-1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Not that if (k+1)+i>n then $(k+1)+i\coloneqq (k+1)+i-n$.

We have

$$a^{2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right)^{2} = \left(e + p_{2} + \dots + p_{n}\right)^{2} = e + p_{1} + p_{2} + \dots + p_{n} + p_{2} p_{2} + \dots + p_{n} p_{3} + \dots + p_{n} p_{n} + \dots + p_{n} p_{n}$$

$$= e + p_2 + p_3 + \dots p_{n-1} + p_n$$

Where

$$p_2 p_2 = p_3$$
, $p_2 p_3 = p_4$,..., $p_2 p_n = e$, $p_n p_2 = e$, $p_n p_3 = p_2$,..., $p_n p_n = p_{n-1}$.

Choose
$$b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i$$
 where $q_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ i+n-1 & i+n-2 & \dots & i \end{pmatrix}$

Not that if i + n - k > n then i + n - k := (i + n - k) - n where k = 1, 2, ...

$$b^{2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\right)^{2} = (q_{1} + q_{2} + \dots + q_{n})^{2}$$

$$= q_1q_1 + q_1q_2 + \dots + q_1q_n + \dots + q_nq_1 + q_nq_2 + \dots + q_nq_n$$

where

$$q_1q_1 = e, ..., q_1q_n = p_n, q_2q_1 = p_n, ..., q_2q_n = p_{n-1}, ..., q_nq_1 = p_2, q_nq_n = e$$

Then
$$b^2 = e + p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_n = a$$

Finally
$$a.b = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i = b$$

Hence a is a S-idempotent of C_2S_n .

Theorem 2: Let D_{2n} be the dihedral group of order 2n, i.e. $D_{2n} = \{a, b : a^n = e = b^2, ba = a^{n-1}b\}$ and C_2 be the chain lattice of order 2. Then the group semiring C_2D_{2n} has a S-idempotent.

Proof: (i) If (n - even)

Let $x \in C_2D_{2n}$

Take $x = e + a^{n/2+1}b$ we have $x^2 = x$ idempotent.

مجلة المنارة العلمية العدد الثأني مايو 2021

Choose $y = a^{n/2} + ab \in C_2D_{2n}$ such that $y^2 = x$

Now we have x. y = y.

Hence x is a S-idempotent in C_2D_{2n} .

(ii) If (n - 0dd)

Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a^i \in C_2 D_{2n}$ such that $x^2 = x$ idempotent.

- (1) Let n = 3 then $x = e + a + a^2 \Rightarrow x^2 = x$
- (2) Suppose that for n = k then $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i\right)^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i$
- (3) For n = k + 2 we have

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a^{i})^{2} = (\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k} + a^{k+1})^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k} + a^{k+1}) + a^{k} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k} + a^{k+1}) + a^{k+1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k} + a^{k+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{2k} + a^{2k+2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + (a^{k} + a^{k+1} + a^{k+2} + \dots + a^{2k-1}) + (a^{k+1} + a^{k+2} + \dots + a^{2k}) + a^{2k} + a^{2k+2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k} + a^{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a^{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i} + a^{k} + a^{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a^{i}$$

Choose $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1/2} a^i \in C_2 D_{2n}$.

Clearly $y^2 = x$ and $x \cdot y = x$. Hence x is a S-idempotent in $C_2 D_{2n}$.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Vasantha Kandasamy, W. B. (2002). Smarandache semiring, Smarandache semifield, and semivector, American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM.
- [2] Vasantha Kandasamy, W. B., (2004). Smarandache Non-Associative (SNA-) Ring, Smarandache Notions Journal, Vol. 14, January, 281-293.
- [3] Vasantha Kandasamy ,W.B., (2004). Smarandache Zero divisors ,Smarandach Notions Journal, Vol. 14,325-329.
- [4] **Romanowska, A. (1982)**, Idempotent distributive semiring with a semilattice reduce, Math. Japonica 27, No. 4, 483.
- [5] **Padella, R. (1998)**, Smarandache algebraic structures, Smarandach Notions Journal, Vol. 9, No 1-2-3, 36-38