Generalized \((a\eta)\)-contractions in extended \(b_2\)-metric spaces with Application

Abstract:
In this paper we present some \((a\eta)\)-contraction fixed point theorems for self-mapping in the setting of an extended \(b_2\)-metric space. An Application to integral equations is provided to illustrate the obtained results. Our results generalize and extend some results of Maryam F. S, Afrah A. N, (2020).
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1. Introduction

Studies of metric fixed point have been an important research area for the last many years. There are many generalizations in the literature about the concept of metric spaces. For example, the notion of a \(2\)-metric space was instituted in Călărescu, S. (1963), and then many fixed-point results were obtained Ahmed. M. A, (2009). Moreover, the notion of a \(b\)-metric space was first introduced in Czerwik, S. (1993), similarly, several fixed-point results were also obtained (Alqahtani, B., et al., (2018), Afshari. H, et al., (2018)). Later, Zead Mustafa (Mustafa, Z., et al., 2014) introduced a new type of generalized metric space called \(b_2\)-metric space, as a generalization of both \(2\)-metric space and \(b\)-metric space. Then, Kamran et al., (2017) have dealt with an extended \(b\)-metric space and obtained unique fixed-point results. Recently, the concept of an extended \(b_2\)-metric space has been introduced in Elmanbog, S. A and Alkaleeli. R. S, (2018), as a generalization of both \(b_2\)-metric space and extended \(b\)-metric space. Similarly, several fixed point results were also obtained for mappings defined on these kind of spaces, Alkaleeli. R. S and Dabnoun. M. N, (2021).

On the other hand, Samet, B., et al. (2012) introduced a new concept of \(\alpha\)-\(\psi\)-contractive mapping and established various fixed point theorems for such mappings in complete metric spaces. Afterwards Hussain, N.; Salimi, P. (2014), Modified the notions of \(\alpha\)-\(\psi\) –contractive mappings and established certain fixed point theorems. After that, many researchers worked on the \(\alpha\)-\(\eta\) -contraction mapping in different settings; for examples, see (Nashine. H. K and Samet. B, (2011). Ahmad. J. et al., (2015), Hussain. N. et al., (2015)) and the references therein. Recently, the concept of a 2-\(\alpha\)-\(\eta\)-admissible mapping which extends the notion of \(\alpha\)-admissible mapping with respect to \(\eta\) on 2-metric spaces has been introduced in Fathollahi. S., et al. (2014). and then many fixed-point results were obtained Jalal Shahkoohi, R., Bagheri, Z. (2019).

Motivated by the work done in Maryam F. S, Afrah A. N, (2020), we study some results for generalized \((a\eta)\)-contraction fixed point theorems on the class of an extended \(b_2\)-metric space. Then we present an application to integral equations on these spaces.

In the supplement, the letters \(\mathbb{R}\), \(\mathbb{R}^+\) and \(\mathbb{N}\) stand for the sets of real, positive real and positive integers, respectively. Moreover, we will denote to the symbols as \(\mathbb{R}_{0}^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}\) and \(\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\).
2. Preliminaries


**Definition 2.1** Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $\theta: X \times X \to [1, \infty)$ be a mapping. A function $d_\theta: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ is an extended $b$-metric on $X$ if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

1) $d_\theta(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y,$
2) $d_\theta(x, y) = d_\theta(y, x),$
3) $d_\theta(x, y) \leq \theta(x, y)[d_\theta(x, z) + d_\theta(z, x)]$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then $d_\theta$ is called an extended $b$-metric on $X$ and the pair $(X, d_\theta)$ is called an extended $b$-metric space.

**Definition 2.2** Samet, B., et al. (2012). Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $\alpha: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$ be a mapping. A mapping $T : X \to X$ is called an $\alpha$-admissible, if

\[
\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1,
\]
for all $x, y \in X$.

Hussain, N.; Salimi, P (2014), extended the above notion of $\alpha$-admissible mapping as follows.

**Definition 2.3** Let $T$ be a self-mapping on $X$ and $\alpha, \eta: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be two functions. We say that $T$ is an $\alpha$-admissible mapping with respect to $\eta$ if

\[
\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq \eta(Tx, Ty),
\]
for all $x, y \in X$.

**Definition 2.4** Maryam, F., et al. (2020). Let, $(X, d_\theta)$ be an extended $b$-metric space and $T: X \to X$. Then $T$ is said to be generalized $(\alpha\eta)EB$-contraction if there exists two functions $\alpha, \eta: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ and $k \in [0, 1)$ such that:

\[
\alpha(x, Tx) \alpha(y, Ty) \geq \eta(x, Tx) \eta(y, Ty),
\]
implies that,

\[
d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max\{d_\theta(x, y), \min\{d_\theta(x, Tx), d_\theta(y, Ty)\}\},
\]
for all $x, y \in X$.

Elmabrok. S. A and Alkaleeli. R. S, (2018), initiated the concept of extended $b_2$-metric spaces as follow:

**Definition 2.5** Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $\theta: X \times X \times X \to [1, \infty)$ be a mapping. A function $d_\theta:X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ is an extended $b_2$-metric on $X$, if the following conditions hold:

1) For every pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $d_\theta(x, y, z) \neq 0,$
2) If at least two of three points $x, y, z$ are the same, then $d_\theta(x, y, z) = 0,$
3) The symmetry: $d_\theta(x, y, z) = d_\theta(x, z, y) = d_\theta(y, x, z) = d_\theta(y, z, x) = d_\theta(z, x, y) = d_\theta(z, y, x),$ 
4) The rectangle inequality: $d_\theta(x, y, z) \leq \theta(x, y, z)[d_\theta(x, y, a) + d_\theta(y, z, a) + d_\theta(z, x, a)],$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then $d_\theta$ is called an extended $b_2$-metric on $X$ and the pair $(X, d_\theta)$ is called an extended $b_2$-metric space.

Note that the class of an extended $b_2$-metric space is larger than $b_2$-metric space, since for $\theta(x, y, z) = s \geq 1$, we obtain the definition of a $b_2$-metric space. Furthermore, if $\theta(x, y, z) = s = 1$, the $b_2$-metric reduces to a 2-metric.


Let $X = [0, 1]$. Define $\theta: X \times X \times X \to [1, \infty)$ by

\[
\theta(x, y, z) = \frac{1 + x + y + z}{x + y + z},
\]
for all $x, y, z \in X$. And $d_\theta:X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by
Then, \((X, d_\theta)\) is an extended \(b_2\)-metric space.

**Example 2.7** Alkaleeli. R. S and Dabnoun. M. N, (2021). Let \(X = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}, \ldots\}\). Define \(\theta : X \times X \times X \to [1, \infty)\) by

\[
\theta(x, y, z) = x + y + z + 3,
\]

and \(d_\theta : X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)\), by

\[
d_\theta(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x, y, z \text{ are distinct and } \left\{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right\} \subset \{x, y, z\}, \text{for some } n \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Then, \((X, d_\theta)\) is a complete extended \(b_2\)-metric space.

**Definition 2.8** Elmabrok. S. A and Alkaleeli. R. S, (2018). Let \(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a sequence in an extended \(b_2\)-metric space \((X, d_\theta)\).

1) A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if \(d_\theta(x_n, x_m, a) \to 0\), when \(n, m \to \infty\) for all \(a \in X\).

2) A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) is convergent to \(x \in X\), if for all \(a \in X\), there exists \(x \in X\), such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d_\theta(x_n, x, a) = 0.
\]

**Definition 2.9** An extended \(b_2\)-metric space \((X, d_\theta)\) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent sequence.

**Definition 2.10** Jalal Shahkoohi, R., Bagheri, Z. (2019), Let, \((X, d)\) be a 2-metric space. Assume that \(T: X \to X\) be a self-mapping and \(\alpha: X \times X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+^0\) be a function. We say that \(T: X \to X\) is a 2-\(\alpha\)-admissible mapping if for all \(x, y, a \in X\):

\[
\alpha(x, y; a) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty, a) \geq 1,
\] (2.4)

**Definition 2.11** Fathollahi. S., et al. (2014). Let, \((X, d)\) be a 2-metric space. Assume that \(T: X \to X\) be a self-mapping and \(\alpha, \eta: X \times X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+^0\) be a functions. We say that \(T: X \to X\) is a 2-\(\alpha\)-admissible mapping with respect to \(\eta\) if

\[
\alpha(x, y, a) \geq \eta(x, y, a) \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty, a) \geq \eta(Tx, Ty, a),
\] (2.5)

for all \(x, y, a \in X\).

If we take \(\eta(x, y, a) = 1\), then we say that \(T\) is a 2-\(\alpha\)-admissible mapping. Also, if we take \(\alpha(x, y, a) = 1\), then we say that \(T\) is a 2-\(\eta\)-subadmissible mapping.

3. **Main Results**

In the sequel, we assume that an extended \(b_2\)-metric \(d_\theta\) is continuous functional. Motivated by Maryam, F., et al. (2020), we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 3.1** Let \((X, d_\theta)\) be an extended \(b_2\)-metric space and \(T: X \to X\). Then \(T\) is said to be generalized \((\alpha\eta)Eb_2\)-contractions if there exists two functions \(\alpha, \eta: X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)\) and \(k \in [0, 1)\) such that

\[
\alpha(x, Tx, a) \alpha(y, Ty, a) \geq \eta(x, Tx, a) \eta(y, Ty, a),
\]

implies that

\[
d_\theta(Tx, Ty, a) \leq k \max\{d_\theta(x, y, a), \min\{d_\theta(x, Tx, a), d_\theta(y, Ty, a)\}\}.
\] (3.1)