## THE EARLY ARAGONESE PARDINA :

Dr. Lynn H. Nelson
Professor of Medieval History
The University of Kansas.

## THE EARLY ARAGONESE PARDINA : FUNCTION AND ETYMOLOGY

The majority of the population of Upper Aragon has traditionally tended to group itself in nucleated communities, but numerous isolated habitations dot hte landscape. There are various types of such establishments, serving different functions and called by distinctive names. Pajares and bordas are relatively modest dwellings serving the temporary needs of the shepherds of the region, while the casetas act as field quarters during the height of the agricultural season (1). The most impressive in physical appearance, however, as well as the most significant economically, are the pardinas of the region. typical pardina, unlike the other isolated dwellings, is intended for permanent residence and constitutes a complete unit for There is usually agricultural and pastoral exploitation (2). a substantial main house, stock pens, and assorted outbuildings, while the land is devoted both to grazing and cultivation. Whereas most of pardina occupies an unusual legal position. the other isolated dwellings are owned by inhabitants of the villages of the district, the pardina is often controlled by an absentee landlord and is, in any case, independent of the village. Although located within the borders of a municipio and subject in most respects to local government, the owners and occupants of the pardinas are denied any share in the meadows, mountains, and forests which form the communal property of the Aragonese village community.

The majority of the pardinas of Upper Aragon were crea-

ted by the Ley de desamortizacion of 1836 and later decrees by which the Crown sought to alleviate its financial difficulties through the confiscation and sale of much village communal property(3). The pardina is not an exclusively modern phenomenon, however, since there are pardinas mentioned in some of the earliest documents which have survived from the region(4). On the other hand, the connection between these early pardinas and the modern institution has not been demonstrated satisfactorily. The origin of the Aragonese pardina, its nature, and even the meaning of the word have become obscured with the passage of time.

Until relatively recently, the pardina has been of interest primarily to philologists, who have used its derivation from pratum as an example of some of the factors at work in the formation of the Aragonese dialect. The derivation was proposed by DuCange and accepted by the Royal Academy of Spain in its definitive dictionary of the Spanish language (5). hard Rohlfs defined the pardina as an uncultivated plot suitable for pasturage and suggested the derivation from pratum through an intermediate term pratina (6). Manuel Alvar offered as a definition, "hacienda en el monte", a characterization quite in line with the modern pardinas of Aragon. He suggested as an intermediate term paratina, derived from pratum and allied with the word paratos found in some early charters (7). Other philologists have accepted this basic line of thought(8). These etymologies are reasonable, but are acceptable only insofar as the original derivation of pardina from pratum is correct. There are many reasons to believe that it is not.

In the first place, there is no documentary evidence for any of the transitional forms through which it has been postulated pratum evolvedinto pardina. On the other hand, the development of pratum into the modern Aragonese forms of prado and

prau is well-attested (9). The derivation is clear, and the classical definition of "a meadow to be cultivated and moved" has been conserved (10). Secondly, although the words pratum and pardina both occur in early Aragonese Charters. there is a significant difference in their usage. It must be remembered that the Aragonese were primarily a pastoral people and maintained a high degree of precision when referring There were three basic types of meadow to pasture lands. recognized by the early Aragonese : the pascua, pratum, and Each had its own characteristics and each enoyed its estiva. own peculiar legal status. The prata were lands capable of being tilled and mowed for the production of fodder and, as such, were of particular economic importance because of the long wintering necessary in the region. It appears to have made no difference if a given pratum was in fact under cultivation; its legal status was fixed by its potential, rather than actual, utilization. Although the pratum was probably worked individually, as is the present practice, it was regorded as part of the communal property of the village of which it formed a As such, prata were rarely alienated from the fillage communities of which they constituted an essential element, and the charters do not convey prata independently (11). The pardinas, on the other hand, are treated quite differently. They have their own place-names, whereas the prata are nameless: they are not described as being part of, or located within, another district; and, finally, they are regularly transferred as Thus the early Aragonese pardina independent properties. appears to have been quite distinct from the contemporary A final point might be made. A charter of the year 948 records the division of a pardina between the king of Pamplona and the monastery of San Juan de la Pena (12). The charter describe the property involved as " ... illa pardina quod est

super Scaberri medietate de omnia, aquis, pascuis ... " It continues to describe the fixing of the boundaries of the pardina, a process identical to that employed in establishing the boundaries of the villages of the region (13). This particular charter supports the view that the tenth-century Aragonese pardina had no necessary connection with a pratum; it was some type of rural district which could contain a variety of properties, including meadows of a type quite distinct from the classic pratum.

The divergence between the generally accepted etymology of pardina and modern usage would be in itself enough to cast some doubts upon the validity of the derivation. Modern usage has not been a clear-cut matter, however. We have chosen the peculiar legal status of the modern pardina as its defining characteristic, but other interpretations are possible. One has led to a re-evaluation of the derivation of the term. Jerónimo Borao, in compiling his influential Diccionario de voces aragonesas, was apparently influenced primarily by the physical aspect of the pardinas of the region. The generally depressed economic conditions of the period had affected the pardinas particularly severely, and many of them had been temporarily abandoned. This perhaps influenced Barao in fixing his definition of pardina as " ... despoblado, esto es, yermo o sitio que en otro tiempo tuvo población (14). Whatever the limitations of this devinition, it possessed the advantage of relating the modern pardina to the historical demography of Upper Aragon. Ever since the appearance of adequate records, the region has shown a steady loss of population, a loss which has continued to the present time. Moreover, the abundance of abandoned dwellings and entire villages in the region as early as the sevententh century suggestes that this trend originated much earlier, perhaps at the beginning of the

Aragonese reconquest in the eleventh century (15).

The eminent Aragonese historian Ricardo del Arco y Garay Borao's definition as directly related to this trend, and suggested that pardina was originally the word by which the Aragonese referred to the abandoned villages which had for so long formed a prominent feature of their landscape (16). interpretation demanded a new etymology, and Arco suggested a derivation from parietinae. The classical validity of the term is attested by Cicero, with the basic meaning of old walls or uninhabited ruin. Arco proposed a derivation following the pattern parietinae partinae pardina (17). Arco's suggestion is supported by Isidore of Seville, who uses the plural form parietinas and emphasizes the connotation of an uninhabited locale (18). Arco's argument is both attractive and ingenius, and is now accepted by the Royal Academy for the etymology of pardina (19).

The weakness of this interpretation is that it is based on Borao's questionable definition. Although the Aragonese pardinas are not uniformly successful and are sometimes abandoned or left uncultivated, this is not a general rule. Contrary to Borao, the modern pardina is not by definition an uninhabited or depopulated place. Nor does Borao's definition apply to the pardinas which appear in the early Aragonese charters. When compiling a glossary of terms employed by these charters, Eduardo Ibarra y Rodriguez was unable to reconcile Borao's definition with his data. He was forced to suggest that the word pardina in the charters referred to "casas de campo", and that the denomination persisted as these were abandoned, finally coming to mean "depopulated (20). fact of the matter is that Borao's definition of pardina is accurate for neither the modern nor the primitive institution. The nature of the pardinas of early Aragon must be determined

through the examination of the texts in which they are mentioned, and any proposed etymology must be based upon the definition thus established.

When one turns to a consideration of the early charters, one is struk both by the relatively small member of pardinas mentioned and by their chronological and geographic concent. There survive from Aragon some fifty-seven charters ration. for the period prior to 1035. Within this body of data appear only nine pardinas, mentioned some seventeen times. Further. more, three of these pardinas and eleven mentions appear in documents which are probably later forgeries (21). Thus only four authentic documents deal with pardinas, and there appear to be only six properties involved. The first of these charters dates from 828, one of the earliest surviving documents from the region, and the last from 948, still relatively early (22). Moreover, five of these six pardinas were mostlprobably located in or near the lower valley of the Veral River, and the sixth only five miles from the junction of that stream with Aragon. One might suspect that the term may have represented the peculiar usage of a single monostery, but the charters in question, although all contained in a single cartulary collection, originated in three separate and originally independent abbeys: San Martin de Cillas, San Juliàn de Labasal, and San Juan de It would be tempting to argue that the term constituted a local usage of the Veral Valley and its immediate vicinity, but the fragmentary nature of the surviving evidence makes any argument from silence particularly dangerous. event, the relatively widespread appearance of place-names using forms of pardina in Upper Aragon suggests that the term may have been in general use  $(^{23})$ .

The first charter to mention pardinas dates from the year 828, originated in the monastery of San Martin de Cillas, and

describes the possessions of that establishment (24). Among these properties three parinnas are mentioned by name, Laqunala, Sarrensa, and Buscitee. Since the same charter mentions two montes by name, it would be reasonable to assume that there existed some distinction, either physical or legal, between the monte and pardina. It is possible to locate one of these padinas relatively closely. The text reads in part, " ... et illa pardina Laqunala, et descendit illo termino ad illa fasca, et per medio Opakello usque in Berali ". One of the boundaries of the pardina is formed by the Veral river itself. This would lead one to suspect that the tract contained at least some bottom land, a rare and highly valued agricultural commodity in this particular region (25).

The second charter, from San Juliàn de Labasal, dates from 893 and is somewhat more complex (26). A dispute had arisen between the village of Biniés, Tolosana, and Orrios in the Veral valley on the one hand, and the monostery of San Juliàn on the other. San Juliàn had once held the lands upon which the villages were situated "... antequam sobales et sardacenses disperserant illo monasterio cum suos meakinos, quando nondum adhuc erant illas villas populates". Galindo Aznar, count of Aragon, and Fortum Garcés, king of Pamplona, together with their "barones", arrived to fix the disputed bounaries. A specific clause was added reserving the pardina of Castilgon to San Juliàn.

Et de parte aqua de Berale, illa pardina de Castilgon cum suo termino de illa pardina, quia fuerunt meskinos de Labasal, et sic fuit suo termino, ex una parte talgat illo termino de illo rivo quod currit in fundes de Bubalo et de Torrente, et cadit in Berale, et ex alia parte alio rivo quod descendit per illa Sabbatera et per Sancti Ste. phani et cadit in Berale : sic est termino de Castilgon (<sup>27</sup>).

This particular charter provides a considerable amount of interesting information. In the first place, it is possible to locate this tract even more closely than Laqunala, on the western bank of the Veral near the present Estrecho de Bugalo in the término of Ansô. Like Laqunala, Castilgon almost certainly contained some arable land, and it is difficult to believe that it was entirely abandoned to pasturage. Moreover, it would appear that the pardina was inhabited by tenant workers, since ownership was vested in the monastery and mesquinos were by definition without property. Finally, the place-name, taken in conjunction with those recorded in the previous chapter offers some material for conjecture. Although it is difficult to determine the origins of the place-names of this region with any degree of exactitude, a reasonably good case could be made for a Latin derivation of all four, Laqunala, Sarrensa, Buscitee, and Castilgon. At any rate, none of the four are clearly of the pre-Roman type with which the region abounds.

The fourth pertinent charter originated in San Martin de Cillas and dates from the period 905-925 (28). It also presents some complexities. The opening reads.

Erat Berali villa pardina cubile de domno Martino; et fecerunt ibi ecclesia Sancti Iohannis Evangeliste. Et post deinde venit senior Galindo Asnari et vidit illa pardina et placuit ei, et quesivit illa ad abbate Barseane et ad illos monacos qui erant in monasterio ut populasset eam.

The monks rejected count Galindo's request, and the disagreement was appealed to Sancho Garcés, king of Pamplona, for resolution. The pardina was turned over to Galindo to be for resolution. The provision that the tithes and other eclasiastical populated with the provision that the tithes and other eclasiastical of the village were to remain the property of the moofferings of San Martin. The Text indicates that the pardina nastery of San Martin. The Text indicates that the pardina district adaptable to a number of purposes. This parwas a district adaptable to a number of purposes. This parwas a sheep fold, but icular pardina had served the monastery as a sheep fold, but icular pardina had served the monastery as a sheep fold, but icular pardina had served the sustain an even more substanachurch. It had the potential to sustain an even more substanachurch. It had the potential to sustain an even more substanachurch. The population and was converted into a village (29). The tial population and available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited, howantout of arable land available must have been limited.

The last charter to be considered comes from the monastery of San Juan de la Pena and is dated 1948 (31). The text reads in part:

Hec est cartula corroborationis vel memoria de alode de Sancti Ihoannis monasterio, quod dederunt comites domnus Gusticulus et domnus Galindo comis illa pardina quod est super Scaberri medietate de onnia, aquis, pascuis .....

The pardina was divided between the monastery and the king of Pamplona, who ordered one of his barons to determine the boundaries of the pardina and turn over to the monastery its half. This was done, and the determination of boundaries was accomplished through the same process by which village boundaries were legally fixed. This document brings up the basic question of the legal nature of the early pardina. The text describes the property of the monastery as an allod, a term which usually connotates a complete and unrestricted ownership (32). The problem inherent in this particular description is to determine whether the allodial nature of the holding deri-

ved from the nature of the pardina itself, or was a status attached to the particular portion which was turned over to the monastery. The dispute between the count of Aragon and the monks of San Martin de Cillas gains an additional significance when regarded in the light of this question. wished to populate an under developed tract which the monastery possessed and upon which the monks had already constructed a church. The monastery could expect to receive tithes, offerings, rents and renders from the new settlers, and would thus have benefitted substantially from the proposed arrangement. One may question why the monks of San Martin opposed the plan. The monastery obviously must have had something to lose in this particular transaction. If one accepts the proposition that the pardina, by its nature, constituted an allodial holding, the problem becomes clear. The count's plan involved the importation of free peasants, and the peasant of early Aragon possessed certain customary rights which would have seriously impaired the abbey's control over these particular lands. The early Aragonese village community was based upon the exploitation of communally held resources. household within the community was guaranteed usufruct of the forests for fuel, the pascuae for grazing, the prate for moving, and whatever small patches of ground might be suitable for vegetables and grapes. Moreover, the grain-bearing lands. or campi, upon which the village depended could be alienated only with the consent of the entire community and even then only in exchange for pooperty of substantial value to the community as a whole (33). In short, the conversion of a pardina into a village brought into force a body of customary law which almost completely erased the unrestricted dominion and right of alienation which lay at the heart of the concept of allodial possession. The pardina, as an allodial holding, could not be

tenatted by a community of free peasants. Its juridical status could be maintained only when it was uninhabited, or when it could be maintained only when it was uninhabited, or when it was occupied either by its owners or by mesquinos, a group was occupied either by its owners or by mesquinos. In this light which was, by definition, without property rights. In this light which was, interesting to note that Castilgon, the only pardina which it is interesting to note that Castilgon, the only pardina which it described as being inhabited, was occupied by mesquinos is described as being inhabited, was occupied by mesquinos dependent on the monastery of San Juliàn de Labasal (34).

The information provided by the early cartulary evidence may be easily summarized, and a good deal may be inferred concerning the nature of the early Aragonese pardina. The pardina was neither exclusively meadow lands nor necessarily depopulated. It was a rural tract of indeterminate size, but usually containing both arable and grazing lands. It was an allodial holding, without limitations upon the proprietary rights of its owner. It was thus legally differentiated from the village of the region, in which ownership rights were conditioned by a body of customary law based upon the protection and conservation of the community's resources. The pardina could be populated, but its allodial nature could be maintained only if the occupants were the owners themselves or mesquino tenants. It was thus something in the nature of a farm or estate, rather than a village community (35).

It can hardly escape attention that the essential characteristics of the early Aragonese pardina were the dame features as those which define the modern institution (36). The pardina has remained basically unchanged, especially in its legal aspects, over the passage of the centuries. It was, and is, an allodial independent holding, a private estate as distinguished from the communal villages of the region.

This realization allows us to return to a consideration of the derivation of the word pardina. If the names of the early Aragonese pardinas are any indication of the institution, this type of rural district probably evolved in Roman or immediately post-Roman times, and the original term must have been Latin. Furthermore, since the original institution was an allodial estate, the original term probably signified just that. Within such limits it is not difficult to find a likely origin. Although there are various classical and post-classical terms signifying "estate", the word praedium was common, and its currency among Hispane-Romans of the late classical period is attested by its use by both Paul Orosius and Isidore of Seville (37).

The morphological evolution of pardina from praedium is somewhat more complex than those which have been proposed from the bases of pratum and parietinus, but is reasonable in its various steps and allows a somewhat greater period of development than the other etymologies. The first two steps would involve the contraction of the -ae- diphthong to -e-, and the plural -ia- ending coming to assume a singular force. Both of these developments are quite common in late Latin, and numerous similar cases could be adduced. These two changes would have produced an intermediate form, predia, the existance of which is verified by its appearance in a charter of the Aragonese region dating from about the year 576 (38). next authentic charters from the region date from about the middle of the ninth century, and the term pardina appears fully developed in these texts. There is no intervening documentation, and the evolution from predia cannot be verified by written evidence. The steps are simple enough, however. thesis would have transformed predia into perdia in a fashion similar to that of praelum perlum (39). Elcock has effectively demonstrated the typical Aragonese vowel-shift é > ià > a before -r (40). The effect of this shift would yield a form pardia. The transfomation of the -ia ending into the common

diminutive -ina would then produce the final form pardina,

It is less simple to explain the curious geographic and chronological concentration of the term in the early documentary evidence, but it is possible to offer some suggestions. praedium was not the only classical or post-classical term available to denote a privately-held estate. Mansus, for instance, appears to have been more favored to the east and eventually evolved into the modern Catilan forms mas and masia. would seem that to the west the Navarrese favored the more purely classical form palatium, Pardina, which had departed far from its classical origin by the ninth century, appears only in the earliest of the Aragonese charters. As the influence of the kingdom of Pamplona grew in the region and as the level of sophistication of the Aragonese scribes increased, the neologism pardina was discarded in favor of palatium, a relatively common term in the Aragonese charters of the subsequent period (41). Pardina had become firmly entrenched in the spoken tongue, however, as the place-names of the region attest, and eventually won its way back into scribal favor (42).

The geographic concentration of the early pardinas is somewhat more difficult to explain, especially in view of the extremely limited nature of the evidence available. The question is well worth consideration, however, since this peculiarity of the evidence may cast some light upon the role played by the pardina within the dynamic Aragonese society of the period. The Aragonese had already begun the expansion which would eventually become a major element of the Spanish Reconquista. This sovement began from the upper reaches of the Pyrenean valleys into which the Moslems had not extended their control (43). The general lines of the resettlement ran down into the lower reaches of the valleys, along the Canal de Berdûn, and along the Gallego river until, under the tutelage

of Sancho el Mayor, king of Navarre, the Aragonese began to move into the fertile plains of the Moslem kingdom of Huesca (44). At any given time during this movement, Aragon constituted a dual society, composed of the settled interior and the underdeveloped and exposed frontier region into which the Aragonese were expanding (45). From the ninth to the middle of the tenth centuries, the period from which our documents are drawn, the frontier consisted of the lower valleys of the rivers tributary to the Aragon and, particularly toward the close of the period, the southern portion of the valley of the Aragon itself. The documnets which we have considered were drawn from both the interior and frontier regions, but mentions of pardinas occur only in charters from the newlysettled lands of the frontier (46). What is more, their appearance seems to follow a certain logical order. The earliest of the pardinas mentioned was probably that of Castilgon, claimed by San Julian de Labasal, and located in the middle reaches of the Veral valley (47). San Martin de Cillas next mentions three pardinas located in the middle valley of the Veral, and finally, in 948, San Juan de la Pena received half a pardina located on the southern slopes of the Aragon valley (48). The pardinas appear in an order closely approximating the path of Aragonese expansion, and their earliest mentions date from the period in which the regions are still in the process of development.

If the pardina can be considered a phenomenon particularly characteristic of the Aragonese frontier regions, it would also appear that it was a relatively unstable and impermanent type of establishment. Of the five or six pardinas mentioned in the early Aragonese charters, two were undergoing rather drastic changes. One was being converted into a village, and the other was being morcellized. Although the base of data is far too small to support firm conclusions, it does conform to what one

would expect, given the peculiar nature of the pardina and the conditions of the time. The pardina, as a private estate, could persist as a stable and profitable unit only as long as it was occupied and worked by its owners or a group of mesquinos. If the tract lay in the hands of the owners, there existed a constant possibility of division, either among heirs or through donation to ecclesiastical foundations. Even greater problems were involved in occupation by mesquinos. Extensive underdeveloped frontier lands nearby must have kept agricultural workers at a premium, and the charters indicate that land-owners were not above attempting to lure mesquinos from one another (49). The difficulty of maintaining a permanent labor supply must have caused the abandoment of many marginal pardinas as the mesquinos were induced to open up still more attractive lands on the frontier. The abandoned pardines may then have been donated to the monasteries of the region or appropriated as montes by neighboring villages. Even apart from the demographic and economic factors involved, there is every indication that Aragon was subject to widespread internal strife (50), and the smaller private holdings would have been far more vulnerable to devastation than the larger communal villages.

The early Aragonese padina, a relatively small tract com-

pletely under private control, afforded its owner a maximum profit from a minimum investment of capital and personnel. As such, it constituted a unit of land-exploitation particularly well-suited to opening up the underdeveloped lands of the Aragonese frontier. In this sense it functioned as a complement to the traditional Aragonese village community. Taken together, the pardina and the village provided the Aragonese with a flexible system of settlement and development. The pardina may have been really effective only along the cutting edge of that expansion, but, if so, it served its purpose in the growth of a dynamic Aragonese society.

## **FOOTNOTES**

- A fuller description of the various types of habitations characteristic of the Pyrenean region may be found in Ramôn Volant Simorra, El Pirineo espanol. Vida, usos costumbres y tradiciones de una cultura milenaria que desaparece (Madrid: Editorial Plus-Ultra, n.d.), especially pp. 150-193.
- Detailed economic and legal analyses of the modern Aragonese pardinas are provided by Pierre Barrère, « Types d'organisation des terroirs en Haut-Aragon », Actas del Primer Congreso Internacional de Estudios Pirenaicos. San Sebastian, 1950 (7 vols.; Zaragoza: CSIC, 1952), V. 249-268 and Max Dumas, « 'Pardinas' et 'Masias' dans la Haut-Aragon oriental », Prineos, XXIII (1967), 105-118.
- 3. See Barrère, « Types d'organisation ... , » p. 261. This view is maintained by Joaquin Costa Mattinez, Colectivismo agrario en Espana (Madrid : Biblioteca Costa 1915).
- 4. The extant Aragonese documents dating from the period prior to 1035 is limited to less than sixty charters of undisputed authenticity. They may be found in the following editions: Colección diplomàtica de la Catedral de Huesca, ed. Antonio Duràn Gudiol (2 vols.; Zaragoza: CSIC, 1965-1969); Cartulario de Siresa, ed. Antonio Ubieto Arteta (Valencia: Anubar, 1960): Coleción diplomàtica de San Andrés de Fanlo, ed. Angel Canellas Lòpez (Zaragoza: CSIC, 1964); Cartulario de San Juan de la Pena, ed. Antonio Ubieto Arteta (2 vols.; Valencia: Anubar, 1962-1963) [Hereafter SJP].
- Clossarium mediae et infimae latinitatis, ed. DuCange, 7 vols ; Paris :
   Firmin Didot Fratres, 1840-1850), s.v. « Pardina » ; Diccionario de la
   lengua espanola, ed. Academia espanola (3 vols., 17th ed. ; Marrid
   Espasa-Calpe, -947), s.v. « Pardina ».
- 6. Gerhard Rohlfs, Le Gascon. Etudes de philologie pyrénéen (Halle : Saale, 1935), p. 51.
- Manuel Alvar Lòpez, El habla del Campo de Jaca (Teses u estudios salamantionos, VII; Salamanca: 1948), p. 89; also Alvar's El dialecto aragonés (Madrid: Editorial Gredos, 1953), p. 274.

- 8. E.g., Manuel Garcia Blanco, « Contribución à la toponimia aragonesa medieval. Los documentos reales pinatenses de Sancho Ramirez », Actas de la primera reunión de toponimia pirenaica. Jaca, ogosto de 1948 (Zaragoza : CSIC, 1949), 119-143, particularly p. 133.
- Antonio Badia Margarit, Contribución ale vocabulario aragonés moderno (Zaraegoza: CSIC, 1948), p. 162; El habla del valle de Bielsa (Barcelona ê CSIC, 1950), p. 206.
- 10. The meaning of pratum is given by Isidore of Seville, Etymologiae, XV, xiii, 17. The economic and legal status of the modern Aragonese prado is discussed in José Casas Torres and José Fontboté Musolas, « El Valle de Tena. Rasgos fisiográficos y economia regional », Pirineos, I (1945), 37-107, particularly p. 86.
- This point is developed more extensively in Lynn Nelson, « Land Use in Early Aragon: The Organization of a Medieval Society », Societas, III (1973), 115-127, especially pp. 117-118.
- 12. SJP No. 18.
- 13. The customary method consisted of a formal procession [of all concerned parties] along the boundaries in question. Similar ceremonies are recorded in numerous charters, including SJP Nos. 7, 12, and 14.
- 14. Jerònimo Borao, Diccionario de voces aragonesas, precedido de una introducción filológica histórica (Zaragoza : Imprenta del Hospicio provincial, 1884), s.v. « Pardina ». It should be noted that Borao did refer to a previous usage, but the significance of the word «pardina» in the passage used is ambiguous. Borao ignored this anbiguity in favor of his interpretation of the term.
- See Ignacio Jordàn de Asso, Historia de la economia politica de Aragòn (Zaragoza: 1798; reprinted Zaragoza: CSIC, 1947), pp. 180 ff.
- 16. Ricardo del Arco y Garay. « Los despoblados de la zona pirenaica aragonesa », Pirineos, II (1946), 5-26.
- 17. Arco, p. 9.
- 18. Isidore of Seville, Etymologiae, XV, viii, 3.
- Diccionario de la lengua espanola, ed. Academia espanola (19th ed.; Madrid: Espasa-Calpe, 1970). s.v. « Pardina ».
- 20. Eduardo Ibarra Rodriguez, Documentos correspondientes al reinado de Sancho Ramìrez. Tomo II (Colècción de documentos para el estudio de la historia de Aragón, vol. IX; Zaragoza: Pedro Larra, 1913), p. 269.

- The judgement of Antonio Ubieto Arteta, the most prolific modern editor of early Aragonese documents, has been accepted regarding the authenticity of the cartulary evidence for the purposes of this paper. It should be noted, however, that his decisions are not universally accepted. See F. Iniguez, « La catedral de Jaca y los origenes del accepted. See F. Iniguez, XXIII (1967), 179-201.
- Of the fifty-seven genuine documents surviving from Aragon dated prior to 1035, a minority of twenty-two are earlier than 950. The number of extant charters begins to increase substantially noly after the year 1025.
- 23. See Agustin Ubieto Arteta, Toponimia aragonesa medieval (Valencia: Anubar, 1972), p. 154. Although Ubieto lists only two place names derived directly from pardina, one is located near Espuéndolas in the region of Jaca and the other near Beranuy in the area of Benabarre. Still a third, Pacopardina, listed on p. 152, lay in the Archdeaconate of Los Valles.
- 24. SJP No. 2. It should be noted that there is some dispute over the authenticity and dating of the documents of San Martin de Cillas. See Claudio Sànchez-Albornoz, « Otra vez los Jimenos de Navarra », Miscelanca de estudios historicos (Leôn : CSIC, 1970), p. 372. and n. 15.
- 25. Only some ten percent of the land of the region is capable of sustaining agriculture, and much of this pootion is unusable due to lack of adequate sunlight, available water for irrigation, or because of simple difficulty of access. See. J. Calvo palacios, « Aisa, un valle pirenaico », Pirineos, XXVI (1970), 36-37. The rarity of arable land has led, over the years, to the cultivation of all available land which can sustain such exploitation. See Alfonso Villuendas Diaz, « El Valle de la Garcipollera », Pirineos, XXIV (1968), 125-126. It would seem that pardinas which included rich bottom lands, so rare and highly-prized in the region, must have been the site of at least some agricultural activity.

## 26. SJP No. 7.

- 27. It is important to note that this document is in the form of a memorial, and that the use of the past tense, including the reference to the mesquinos of Castilgon, can refer to a state of affairs in effect in the year 893. The justification for San Julian's claims, however, was based upon an occupation of the territory in question far earlier than that date.
- 28. SJP No. 13. It should be noted that the pardina mentioned here may be identical to one of those mentioned in SJP No. 2.

- 29. A more detailed example of the social and economic processes by which an isolated holding was transformed into a village may be found in « Documentos para el estudio de la reconquista y repoblación del Valle del Ebro (tercera serie) », ed. José Maria Lacarra, in Estudios de Edad Media de la Corona de Aragón, V. (1952), 527-528, document No. 300.
- 30. SJP No. 32. Note that, although documents Nos. 2, 13, and 32, all referring to the possessions of the monastery of San Martin de Cillas, form a continuous whole, the text refers to various points in time and has been properly treated by the editor as a series of three separate transactions.
- 31. SJP No. 18
- 32. See the excellent citations for « alodis », etc., provided by the Glossarium mediae latinitatis cataloniae. Voces latinas y romances documentadas en fuentes catalanas de ano 800 al 1100 (Barcelona : CSIC, 1960).
- 33. See Nelson, « Land Use in Early Aragon ... , » p. 122 and n. 31.
- 34. See p. 8 above.
- 35. A similar view is held by José Maria Lacarra, Aragôn en el passado (Madrid: Espasa-Calpe, 1972), p. 27. Lacarra regards the pardina as an isolated rural establishment, but feels that it was devoted to pastoral purposes, in contrast to the agricultural casa. He does not develop the question of the legal status of these properties, but does emphasize the distinction between them and the village communities of the period.
- 36. See p. 1 above.
- 37. Paulus Orosius, Historiarum adversus paganos libri septem, VII, xl; Isidore of Seville, Etymologiae, XV, xiii, 5.
- 38. Coleción diplomàtica de la Catedral de Huesca, No. 2, « ... ad sua predia frequenter veniens ... »
- 39. This shift is not uncommon in the Aragonese region. See Pascual Gonzàlez Guzman, El habla viva del valle de Aragüés (Zaragoza: CSIC, 1953), p. 56.
- 40. W. D. Elcock, « Topinimia menor en el Alto Aragôn », Actas del primer reuniôn de toponimia pirenaica. Jaca, Agosto de 1948 (Zaragoza : CSIC, 1949), p. 81.
- 41. E. G., SJP Nos. 19 (948-962), 25 (989), etc.
- 42. It may be that, with the passage of time, the term palatium came to

refer more closely to the buildings, rather than the lands, of the isolated farmsteads. See, for example, SJP ùo. 62 (1034), «  $\dots$  et in seresi, palatiuu et terras ».

- José Maria Millàs Vallicrosa, « La conquista musulmana de la region pirenaica », **Pirineos, II** (1946), 53-67.
- For the general lines of the Aragonese expansion, see Antonio de la Torre, « La reconquista del Pirineo », and José Maria Lacarra, « La reconquista y repoblación de vallee del Ebro ». Both articles appear in La reconquista espanola y la repoblación del país (Zaragoza : CSIC, 1951), pp. 11-38 and 39-83, respectively.
- of the historians of the region, José Maria Lacarra has led the way in the appreciation of the Dynamic role played by the frontier regions in shaping the Aragonese state and society. See Aragôn en el pasado, particularly pp. 27-30 and 51-55. Also see José Maria Ramos Loscertales, El reino de Aragôn bajo In dinastia pamplonesa, ed. José Maria Lacarra (Salamanca: Universidad de Salamanca, 1961), especially pp. 66 ff.
- 46. The documents of the Cartulario de Siresa provide eight charters from the relatively established region of the upper valley of the Aragôn Subordàn. Since these total over one-third of the total available for the period prior to 950, the lack of any mention of pardinas among their number may me taken as significant
- 47. SJP No. 7 (893). Although the document in question dates from a period considerably later than that of San Martin de Cillas [SJP No. 2 (828)], it refers to claims established by a much earlier occupation of the area by the monastery of San Juliàn de Labasal. There is no reason to believe that its claim to possession of the pardina of Castilgon did not derive from this original settlement of the region. Although it is presently impossible to fix the period of this occupation with any degree of exactituide there are reasons to believe that it probably occurred earlier than the establishment of San Martin de Cillas.
- 48. The identification of « Scaberri » with the modern Javierremartes is suggested by the presence in the charter of witnesses from Martes and Bailo.
- 49. See SJP No. 9. In this document the monastery of San Martin de Cercito prescribes panalties for mesquinos who attach themselves to lords other than the monastery itself or its tenants.
- 50. Both SJP Nos. 7 and 9 mention disturbances of some magnitude. In addition, of course, there existed the permanent threat of Moslem attack. See, for example, SJP No. 31.